C₁₃H₉NO

V = 943.5 (3) Å ³	$0.40 \times 0.40 \times 0.06$ mm
Z = 4	Yellow
$D_x = 1.374 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	Crystal source: Aldrich

Data collection

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4	$R_{\rm int} = 0.0296$
diffractometer	$\theta_{\rm max} = 24.98^{\circ}$
$\omega/2\theta$ scans	$h = -5 \rightarrow 5$
Absorption correction:	$k=0 \rightarrow 19$
none	$l = 0 \rightarrow 15$
1738 measured reflections	2 standard reflections
1664 independent reflections	frequency: 60 min
1029 observed reflections	intensity decay: none
$[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	- •

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = -0.062$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.0543$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.154 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$wR(F^2) = 0.1759$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.253 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
S = 0.786	Extinction correction: none
1664 reflections	Atomic scattering factors
172 parameters	from International Tables
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.2096P)^2]$	for Crystallography (1992
+ 0.1467 <i>P</i>]	Vol. C, Tables 4.2.6.8 and
where $P = (F_{\rho}^2 + 2F_{c}^2)/3$	6.1.1.4)

 Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²)

 $U_{aa} = (1/3) \sum_{i} \sum_{j} U_{ij} a^* a^* a_j a_j$

	x	у	z	U_{eq}
N10	-0.0070 (5)	0.23733 (15)	0.2255 (2)	0.0473 (7)
C14	-0.3202 (6)	0.2200 (2)	0.0597 (2)	0.0414 (7)
C11	0.0230 (6)	0.3362 (2)	0.0888 (2)	0.0419 (7)
C13	-0.2183 (6)	0.1939 (2)	0.1638 (2)	0.0426 (7)
C9	-0.2017 (6)	0.2928 (2)	0.0185 (2)	0.0441 (7)
C12	0.1149 (6)	0.30593 (15)	0.1917 (2)	0.0400 (7)
C5	-0.3350 (7)	0.1234 (2)	0.2031 (2)	0.0565 (8)
01	-0.2819 (5)	0.31831 (12)	-0.07342 (13)	0.0641 (7)
C4	0.3352 (6)	0.3476 (2)	0.2584 (2)	0.0511 (8)
C8	-0.5374 (7)	0.1732 (2)	-0.0014 (2)	0.0515 (8)
Cl	0.1504 (7)	0.4071 (2)	0.0573 (2)	0.0503 (7)
C2	0.3601 (7)	0.4480 (2)	0.1235 (2)	0.0603 (8)
C7	-0.6469 (7)	0.1050 (2)	0.0375 (3)	0.0644 (9)
C6	-0.5450 (8)	0.0796 (2)	0.1412 (3)	0.0660 (9)
C3	0.4538 (7)	0.4167 (2)	0.2247 (2)	0.0566 (8)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

Angles at C other than those given below are in the range 118-122°.

1.355 (4)	C13C5	1.398 (4)
1.363 (3)	C901	1.250 (3)
0.96 (4)	C12C4	1.406 (4)
1.406 (4)	C5-C6	1.363 (5)
1.411 (3)	C4C3	1.354 (5)
1.443 (4)	C8—C7	1.350 (4)
1.389 (4)	C1C2	1.366 (4)
1.410 (3)	C2—C3	1.400 (4)
1.456 (4)	C7—C6	1.402 (5)
123.0 (2) 122.8 (2)	C14C9C11	116.9 (2)
	1.355 (4) 1.363 (3) 0.96 (4) 1.406 (4) 1.411 (3) 1.443 (4) 1.389 (4) 1.410 (3) 1.456 (4) 123.0 (2) 122.8 (2)	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$

All nine H atoms were located from the Fourier difference map and their positions and isotropic displacement parameters were refined.

Data collection: CAD-4 diffractometer software. Cell refinement: CAD-4 diffractometer software. Data reduction: CAD- 4 diffractometer software. Program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS86* (Sheldrick, 1990*a*). Program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL93* (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: *PLUTO* (Motherwell & Clegg, 1978). Software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL93*.

We acknowledge support from the SERC (CASE studentship for GDP).

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: HA1122). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Lenstra, A. T. H. & van Loock, J. F. J. (1984). Soc. Chim. Belg. 93, 12.
- Motherwell, W. D. S. & Clegg, W. (1978). *PLUTO. Program for Plotting Molecular and Crystal Structures.* Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990a). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990b). SHELXTL-Plus. Seimens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Suesse, P., Steins, M. & Kupcik, V. (1988). Z. Kristallogr. 184, 269-271.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 268-270

$(1\beta,5\alpha,6\beta)$ -10,10-(1,2-Ethylenedioxy)-1,5-dimethylbicyclo[4.4.0]decan-4-one

KWANGYONG PARK AND DALE C. SWENSON

Department of Chemistry, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa 52242, USA

WILLIAM J. SCOTT

Miles Inc., Pharmaceutical Division, Institute for Chemistry, 400 Morgan Lane, West Haven, CT 06516-4175, USA

DAVID F. WIEMER

Department of Chemistry, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa 52242, USA

(Received 19 May 1994; accepted 26 July 1994)

Abstract

The title compound (1) [alternative name: 5,8adimethyl(decahydronaphthalene)-1-spiro-2'-(1',3'-dioxolan)-6-one, C₁₄H₂₂O₃] was obtained as the major product upon Pd/CaCO₃-catalyzed hydrogenation of the corresponding enone (2). The analysis of the crystal structure of (1) has established that the monoacetal is *cis*fused and that both rings adopt nearly perfect chair forms. The C(5) methyl group is in an α position, resulting directly from *syn*-hydrogenation of the enone. While there are two possible chair-chair conformations for *cis*-decalins, the title compound crystallized in the conformation which places the C(5) methyl group in an equatorial position.

Comment

Studies directed at the synthesis of the natural product arenarol, a *cis*-fused bicyclo[4.4.0]decane (Schmitz, Lakshmi, Powell & van der Helm, 1984), have involved the preparation of various *cis*-decalones including the title compound, (1). Hydrogenation of the corresponding enone (2) over a Pd/CaCO₃ catalyst produced a mixture of diastereomers in a 9:1 ratio based on integration of ¹H NMR spectra. Both products have been characterized as *cis*-fused isomers. This was in contrast to the hydrogenation of the corresponding diketone (3), which gave an approximate 3:2 mixture of C(5)-methyl diastereomers of the corresponding *cis*-fused decalin along with approximately 10% of the *trans*-fused analog (Park, Scott & Wiemer, 1994).



The stereo-controlled synthesis of highly substituted cis-fused decalins is dependent on precise stereochemical knowledge. As there are two possible chair-chair conformations of cis-fused decalones (Heathcock, Ratcliffe & Van, 1972; Huffman & Balke, 1988), the stereochemistry of the C(5) methyl group could not be determined by spectroscopic methods. The determination of the crystal structure of (1) allowed the stereochemistry at C(5) to be assigned unambiguously, as well as establishing the overall conformation of the molecule.

The *cis*-fused bicyclic ring in the title compound adopts a nearly perfect chair-chair conformation. The C(5) methyl group proved to be in the α equatorial position, which must result from β syn-hydrogenation in order to attain the overall conformation.

Studies on the reactivity of the title compound have revealed preferred formation of the C(3) enolate over the C(5) isomer (Park, Scott & Wiemer, 1994). The C(3)—C(4) bond is shorter than the C(4)—C(5) bond, which may suggest a tendency towards C(3)—C(4) enol formation.



Fig. 1. An ORTEP (Johnson, 1965) drawing of the crystal structure of the title compound. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 35% probability level.

Experimental

Catalytic hydrogenation of the enone (2) over Pd/CaCO₃ in pyridine was performed under 60 psi of hydrogen [1 psi \simeq 6.895×10^3 Pa] at room temperature over 2 d to give a mixture of title compound and the C(5) epimer in a 9:1 ratio. The major product was separated by column chromatography and dissolved in ether at room temperature. The solution was placed in a closed vial and allowed to stand at 258 K for 3 d. The remaining solvent was decanted, and the colorless crystals were washed quickly with cold ether (258 K) and dried under air.

Crystal data

$C_{14}H_{22}O_3$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_{t} = 238.3$	$\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$
Monoclinic	Cell parameters from 25
$P2_{1}/c$	reflections
a = 7.889 (1) Å	$\theta = 13 - 16^{\circ}$
h = 19.490 (3) Å	$\mu = 0.079 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 8.559 (1) Å	T = 295 K
$\beta = 101.70 (1)^{\circ}$	Irregular cube
V = 1288.7 (6) Å ³	$0.28 \times 0.25 \times 0.22$ mm
Z = 4	Colorless
$D_x = 1.23 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	

Data collection

Enraf–Nonius CAD-4	1840
diffractometer	[/
θ -2 θ scans [range (0.8 +	$R_{int} =$
$(0.35\tan\theta)^{\circ}$, speed 1.67–	θ_{\max}
5.0° min ⁻¹ , background	h = -
determined by the	k = -
Lehman-Larson method]	<i>l</i> = -
Absorption correction:	4 sta
none	fre
5325 measured reflections	int
2622 independent reflections	

1840 observed reflections $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ $R_{int} = 0.058 \text{ (on }I)$ $\theta_{max} = 30^{\circ}$ $h = -10 \rightarrow 10$ $k = -18 \rightarrow 25$ $l = -11 \rightarrow 5$ 4 standard reflections frequency: 240 min intensity decay: <2.0%

Refinement Refinement on F $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F) + (0.04F)^2]$ R = 0.046 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.03$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.22 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ wR = 0.072 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.15 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ S = 1.43Atomic scattering factors 1840 reflections 154 parameters from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography H atoms were included (1974, Vol. IV, Table in the structure-factor calculations at fixed 2.2B) positions with C---H =

0.95 Å

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalentisotropic displacement parameters (Å2)

$$U_{\text{eq}} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i . \mathbf{a}_j.$$

	x	у	z	U_{eq}
O(1)	0.4615 (2)	0.8721 (1)	0.1527 (2)	0.0978 (5)
O(2)	0.9159(1)	0.85509 (6)	0.8481 (1)	0.0504 (3)
O(3)	1.0664 (1)	0.93121 (6)	0.7265(1)	0.0598 (4)
C(1)	0.8336 (2)	0.86594 (8)	0.5612 (2)	0.0404 (4)
C(2)	0.8426 (2)	0.91508 (9)	0.4218 (2)	0.0531 (5)
C(3)	0.7603 (3)	0.8857(1)	0.2588 (2)	0.0655 (5)
C(4)	0.5842 (3)	0.8565 (1)	0.2549 (2)	0.0613 (5)
C(5)	0.5703 (2)	0.80745 (9)	0.3882 (2)	0.0550 (5)
C(6)	0.6438 (2)	0.84245 (8)	0.5514 (2)	0.0422 (4)
C(7)	0.5260 (2)	0.9009(1)	0.5847 (2)	0.0552 (5)
C(8)	0.5950 (2)	0.9355 (1)	0.7431 (2)	0.0632 (5)
C(9)	0.7778 (2)	0.96122 (9)	0.7524 (2)	0.0588 (5)
C(10)	0.8976 (2)	0.90382 (8)	0.7212 (2)	0.0432 (4)
C(11)	0.9534 (2)	0.8043 (1)	0.5562 (2)	0.0617 (5)
C(12)	0.3883 (3)	0.7788(1)	0.3735 (3)	0.0865 (6)
C(13)	1.0684 (2)	0.8728 (1)	0.9620 (2)	0.0599 (5)
C(14)	1.1795 (2)	0.9087 (1)	0.8675 (2)	0.0585 (5)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

O(1)-C(4)	1.205 (2)	C(3)-C(4)	1.496 (3)
O(2)-C(10)	1.428 (2)	C(4)-C(5)	1.510 (3)
O(2)-C(13)	1.428 (2)	C(5)-C(6)	1.556 (2)
O(3)-C(10)	1.427 (2)	C(5)—C(12)	1.522 (3)
O(3)-C(14)	1.417 (2)	C(6)-C(7)	1.533 (2)
C(1)—C(2)	1.543 (2)	C(7)—C(8)	1.513 (2)
C(1)C(6)	1.552 (2)	C(8)—C(9)	1.514 (3)
C(1)-C(10)	1.548 (2)	C(9)—C(10)	1.523 (2)
C(1)-C(11)	1.534 (2)	C(13)—C(14)	1.483 (3)
C(2)—C(3)	1.526 (2)		
C(10)-O(2)-C(13)	107.4 (1)	C(6)—C(5)—C(12)	114.1 (2)
C(10) - O(3) - C(14)	109.5 (1)	C(1)C(6)C(5)	111.0(1)
C(2) - C(1) - C(6)	109.6(1)	C(1)-C(6)-C(7)	112.6(1)
C(2) - C(1) - C(10)	109.3 (1)	C(5)C(6)C(7)	111.3 (1)
C(2)—C(1)—C(11)	109.8 (1)	C(6)-C(7)-C(8)	112.1 (1)
C(6)-C(1)-C(10)	109.1 (1)	C(7)-C(8)-C(9)	111.2 (2)
C(6)—C(1)—C(11)	111.1 (1)	C(8)-C(9)-C(10)	111.4 (1)
C(10)—C(1)—C(11)	107.8 (1)	O(2)-C(10)-O(3)	106.0 (1)
C(1) - C(2) - C(3)	113.4 (1)	O(2) - C(10) - C(1)	108.7 (1)
C(2)-C(3)-C(4)	112.6 (2)	O(2)-C(10)-C(9)	109.0(1)
O(1)—C(4)—C(3)	121.8 (2)	O(3) - C(10) - C(1)	109.9 (1)
O(1)C(4)C(5)	122.5 (2)	O(3)-C(10)-C(9)	109.2 (1)
C(3)—C(4)—C(5)	115.7 (1)	C(1)-C(10)-C(9)	113.8 (1)
C(4)—C(5)—C(6)	109.2 (1)	O(2)-C(13)-C(14)	104.6 (1)
C(4)-C(5)-C(12)	112.4 (1)	O(3)-C(14)-C(13)	105.7 (1)

The structure was determined by direct methods using *MolEN* (Fair, 1990). Data collection: *CAD-4 Software* (Enraf–Nonius, 1989). Cell refinement: local program. Data reduction: *MolEN*. Program(s) used to refine structure: *MolEN*.

We thank Mr M. A. Capron for his help in preparing the X-ray data tables. Financial support from the

National Institutes of Health and the University of Iowa Graduate College, in the form of an assistantship for KP, is gratefully acknowledged.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates, bond distances and angles involving non-H atoms and torsion angles have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: BK1068). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Enraf-Nonius (1989). CAD-4 Software. Version 5.0. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Fair, C. K. (1990). MolEN. An Interactive Intelligent System for Crystal Structure Analysis. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands. Heathcock, C. H., Ratcliffe, R. & Van, J. (1972). J. Org. Chem. 37,
- Heatncock, C. H., Katclitte, R. & Van, J. (1972). J. Org. Chem. 37, 1796–1807.
- Huffman, J. W. & Balke, W. H. (1988). J. Org. Chem. 53, 3828–3831.
- Johnson, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Park, K., Scott, W. J. & Wiemer, D. F. (1994). J. Org. Chem. In the press.
- Schmitz, F. J., Lakshmi, V., Powell, D. R. & van der Helm, D. J. (1984). J. Org. Chem. 49, 241-244.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 270-272

Oxime of 1-Methyl-3-trifluoroacetylpyrrolidin-2-one

BERNARD TINANT AND JEAN-PAUL DECLERCQ

Université Catholique de Louvain, Laboratoire de Chimie Physique et de Cristallographie, 1 Place Louis Pasteur, B 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

JEAN-PHILIPPE BOUILLON

Université Catholique de Louvain, Laboratoire de Chimie Organique, 1 Place Louis Pasteur, B 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

(Received 26 August 1994; accepted 26 September 1994)

Abstract

The title compound, 3-(1-hydroxyimino-2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)-1-methylpyrrolidin-2-one, C₇H₉F₃N₂O₂, is obtained by condensation of 1-methyl-3-trifluoroacetylpyrrolidin-2-one and hydroxylamine without ring opening of the lactam. The least-squares planes through thepyrrolidine and oxime groups are approximately perpendicular to each other.